

# BARKER PARK AMPHITHEATRE SURVEY RESULTS

## **Question #1: What are your general impressions of the initial design concept above?**

- I like the initial concept.
- Impressive, hopefully a place to have community involvement and a lot more attendance.
- I really like it! I especially like the curve of the roof. I am glad acoustics design takes into account the curves of the topography.
- I live across the street (Deer Meadows). This will be a great addition to the neighborhood. I am excited to have it done.
- Beautiful because of the setting below Ben Lomond Peak.
- Nice.
- I think the structure needs a different roof line, something less like a Japanese (tire?) structure.
- Ok but kind of small stage for large area. Also, lots of dead space on either side of the stage.
- I like the shape of the roof and the open wood look under the rafters. We live in the mountains-I like the look of timber.
- Excellent thought about the history of the surrounding community.
- I like it. It complements the area.
- Guess I would prefer a more peaked/pitched roof. Curve is ok, but just more contemporary. Do darker walls/roof create too much heat retention in summer?
- I like it-simple but sufficient.
- The design looks great.
- I like the simplicity of the design and how it flows with the existing terrain and mountain views.
- I like the design, wondering of roof line could be augmented to more closely mimic the mountain range backdrop, just a thought.
- Love the design, works great with the mountains.
- I think it's very exciting to see something this impressive come to North Ogden.
- I think this looks great.

- Like being able to see Ben Lomond-awesome. Concerned masonry (gray) could look like restrooms. I agree with the use of more “rocks” –I know it would be more expensive but could rock be put on masonry? I like rusted materials used in area.
- Looks good.
- Too flashy-should be a lot more discreet so as not to detract from the beautiful view of Ben Lomond Peak.
- Positive- aesthetically pleasing, blends into area and neighborhood. Potential negative-dominant structure in area (per concept) spaceship look.
- Really like the clean look, will the “hood” support all of the lighting and sound needs without cluttering it too much? Not a huge fan of the brick-it doesn’t seem to fit with the natural look of the outdoors.

**Question #2: On a scale of 1-10, how much does this design “fit” North Ogden? (1= bad fit ; 10 = perfect fit)**

- |       |  |
|-------|--|
| • 8   | • 10   |
| • 10  | • 10 It’s something we need!   |
| • 10  | • Perfect 10+  |
| • 10  | • Wasn’t sure at first but I like the form more as I look at it. More wood and rock. |
| • 9+  |  |
| • 8   | • 7  |
| • 4   | • 4  |
| • 5   | • Yes, 8   |
| • 7   | • 8  |
| • 10  |  |
| • 6   |  |
| • 5   |  |
| • 10  |  |
| • 10  |  |
| • 9   |  |
| • 8-9 |  |

**Average = 8.10**

### **Question #3: What are your thoughts on the site layout?**

- I like the layout as given and would like to emphasize the additional parking.
- It looks great!
- Fantastic! I'm hoping Cherry Days events can ultimately all be housed in this site.
- Seems well thought out.
- The site layout is good, needs more parking.
- Wider stage, angled more towards the sides. Light the stage, not the amphitheater. Get rid of donor tiles or make them sidewalk.
- Please do not be conservative with the size of the stage- make sure it can handle larger shows! Is there a place for an orchestra pit to be able to play during the live theatre? If so, can it be covered? Love the mix of seats and grass.
- Very well thought out. However, parking is a major challenge.
- I think the layout works. Have some parking available.
- Well thought out.
- I am glad you are not looking to "once again" re-configure the complete lay out of the land.
- I like the layout.
- I like the addition of trees and would suggest adding even more to provide shade and act as sound and light filters.
- I love it. A class amphitheater will attract top class acts.
- Works well with the design.
- I think it will look great!
- I think the layout is perfect.
- I like the site layout with neutral materials.
- Has potential to be a super place.
- Good plan.
- Very nice. Concerned about sound traveling southeast. Also concerned about sun in evening in the eyes of attendees.

**Question #4: What color palette do you like best, and what colors/materials do you like best?**

- If I have to choose one, then A but all three are good choices in my opinion.
- I like B best, blends more with the whites of the barns around.
- I love the wood and metal. I'm partial to red brick. Option C is my favorite, followed by A.
- Let the designers design.
- A contrast is good.
- C – I think it needs more color, the others are too bland.
- Naturals, keep natural beauty of area, don't distract.
- I prefer the warmer tones of A or C. I love the accents of red rock and rust also.
- C – but a little lighter.
- C – but I would like more of a rust colored roof, lighters shades.
- C – How easy/hard to maintain colored wood surfaces? Needs to be part of discussion/decision.
- A – more of the wood where possible, or C.
- I am leaning towards "C".
- I am a fan of the earth tones to blend in more with the natural environment.
- C – I like the color of the stone material and the weathered wood look.
- C
- B
- A
- I believe I like B but I would love rock on masonry-I might even choose a different combination –I like rusted elements.
- Natural colors to fit the environment- graffiti resistant.
- Dark earth tones, green, brown/wood, black. Metal for durability.
- Metal roof – brown, masonry – red or dark red, wood-grey, "C" my choice on table.
- Natural colors (love the rust color) wood and anything that helps the building to blend into the surroundings.

**Question #5: Any other feedback or comments for us to consider?**

- Want it to blend in as much as possible to landscape rather than stand out.
- Keep costs down- see Goldendale Amphitheater in Washington State and consider a smaller scale of this venue. Thanks!
- Concerned about the parking.
- Go for it!
- Are there going to be any boweries for picnics, etc. Have a shuttle take patrons to and from the venues. I am impressed with the entire concept. I just hope the costs of the tickets won't be so much that those of us on a fixed budget can afford them.
- I love this idea!! We go to the Sandy Amphitheatre often because we love the outdoor theatre, I love that we can come close to home.
- With the amount of talent in the area the amphitheater will be a great asset and legacy to the community. It will be awesome!
- Look at improving Fruitland Drive to allow parking along the road as well as additional sidewalks to promote pedestrian traffic. Parking for bicycles would also be beneficial.
- Need to solve parking shortage problems. Maybe see about purchasing Lyman's field (used for fireworks portion) to turn into additional parking for amphitheater attendees. Add trees to SW side of sidewalk for sound abatement.
- Any chance of incorporating misters or large fans for hot summer nights? (think Tuachan)
- I wouldn't want to pay for events unless they are really cool or local artists like Peter Brienholt or Imagine Dragons. Paid ushers.
- Traffic and parking need to be part of the planning of the whole park plan.
- Consider the winter usage. A fair amount of sledding occurs both inside and outside the bowl.
- PARKING!!
- Mayor Brent Taylor, I have talked to my brother (Keith Buswell), who is with Wadman, as you are aware. He said for you to please send it to Wadman for their consideration.
- About the rest of the park, 1: dog park fencing-dropping odors would be highly detractive to the "family park". Dog park may be best placed with the horse arena. 2: A sports complex is contrary to the family park objective.